

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,867	10/30/2003	George Paskalov	100798.0008US1	2026
7590 03/26/2007 Rutan & Tucker, LLP Suite 1400			· EXAMINER	
			WONG, EDNA	
611 Anton Blvd. Costa Mesa, CA 92626			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1753	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/26/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			•		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/698,867	PASKALOV ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Edna Wong	1753		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⋈ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i 9) ⋈ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date February 2, 2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group **III**, claims **12-19**, in the reply filed on February 13, 2007 is acknowledged.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Accordingly, claims **1-11** are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

page 1, line 4, the word "priority" should be amended to the word -- benefit --.

page 4, line 19, the words -- (not shown) -- should be inserted after the number "132".

page 4, line 19, the words -- (not shown) -- should be inserted after the number "134".

Appropriate correction is required.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Art Unit: 1753

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **12-14 and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over **Laroussi** (US Patent No. 5,876,663).

Laroussi teaches a method of reducing biological contamination in a waste, comprising:

- (a) providing an RF plasma wave generator (= the plasma is generated by applying RF voltage between two electrodes) [col. 2, lines 14-34]; and
- (b) carrying the waste (col. 2, lines 35-44) past waves produced by the RF plasma wave generator (col. 3, lines 30-37; and Fig. 1) under conditions in which

Application/Control Number: 10/698,867

Art Unit: 1753

a substantial percentage of the population of a microbe (= microorganisms) in the waste is inactivated or killed (= kill), to produce a treated waste (= sterilized) [col. 2, lines 45-55].

The substantial percentage is at least 50% (= kill or render inviable **all** microorganisms present in the liquid) [col. 1, lines 26-32].

The substantial percentage is at least 90% (= kill or render inviable **all** microorganisms present in the liquid) [col. 1, lines 26-32].

The step of providing an RF plasma wave generator comprises operating the generator at a basic frequency of 0.44 MHz-40.56 MHz (= 1 to 50 kHz) [col. 3, lines 63-65].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- I. Claims **15 and 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Laroussi** (US Patent No. 5,876,663) as applied to claims 12-14 and 18 above, and further in view of **Ubelhor** (US Patent No. 6,379,539 B1).

Laroussi is as applied above and incorporated herein.

The method of Laroussi differs from the instant invention because Laroussi does

not disclose the following:

a. Wherein the method further comprises discharging the treated waste into a navigable body of water, as recited in claim 15.

b. Wherein the step of carrying the waste past the waves is carried out upon a ship, as recited in claim 20.

Laroussi teaches water treatment systems of municipalities and other locations can be treated and sterilized (col. 2, lines 8-12).

Like Laroussi, Ubelhor teaches treating water to remove impurities. Ubelhor teaches a water treatment system 10 resident on a boat 18 and the freshwater source is a lake or river that is accessible to through the water inlet line 20 of the boat 18 (col. 5, lines 6-10; and Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method described by discharging the treated waste into a navigable body of water; and carrying the waste past the waves is carried out upon a ship because for those regions or countries wherein the government cannot afford or chooses not to provide, regulate and maintain a system to treat water to provide drinking water, it would have been desirable to provide a system and method whereby water from freshwater sources, such as lakes, streams, ponds, rivers, etc., can be accessed and treated to provide safe drinking water as taught by Ubelhor (col. 1, lines 23-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,867 Page 6

Art Unit: 1753

II. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laroussi (US Patent No. 5,876,663) as applied to claims 12-14 and 18 above

Laroussi is as applied above and incorporated herein.

The method of Laroussi differs from the instant invention because Laroussi does not disclose the following:

- a. Wherein the method further comprising discharging the treated waste into a sewer, as recited in claim 16.
- b. Wherein the method further comprises discharging the treated waste into a conduit in a municipal waste treatment plant, as recited in claim 17.

Laroussi teaches water treatment systems of municipalities and other locations can be treated and sterilized (col. 2, lines 8-12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method described by discharging the treated waste into a sewer; and discharging the treated waste into a conduit in a municipal waste treatment plant because Laroussi teaches sterilizing sewage (col. 2, lines 40-44).

III. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laroussi (US Patent No. 5,876,663) as applied to claims 12-14 and 18 above.

Laroussi is as applied above and incorporated herein.

The method of Laroussi differs from the instant invention because Laroussi does not disclose wherein the step of providing an RF plasma wave generator comprises

Application/Control Number: 10/698,867

Art Unit: 1753

operating the generator at a modulation frequency of 10-35 kHz, as recited in claim 19.

Laroussi teaches that one skilled in the art will understand that the parameters are interrelated and will be able to select appropriate values of these parameters based on the teaching of his specification (col. 4, lines 36-46).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the step of providing an RF plasma wave generator described by Laroussi with wherein the step of providing an RF plasma wave generator comprises operating the generator at a modulation frequency of 10-35 kHz because the modulation frequency is a result-effective variable and one skilled in the art has the skill to calculate the modulation frequency that would have determined the success of the desired reaction to occur, dependent upon the plasma forming gas and the kind of microorganism that is being killed (MPEP § 2141.03 and § 2144.05(II)(B)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Page 8

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edna Wong

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW

March 22, 2007